Is Telehealth as Effective as In-Person Care? **Evidence from a Rapid Literature Review**

Adara Citron (CHBRP), Margaret Fix (UCSF), Janet Coffman (UCSF), Garen Corbett (CHBRP), & Diana Camacho (CHCF)

Background

The use of telehealth by patients and providers has increased dramatically over the last decade, spurred by technological advancements, patient and provider preference, and, most recently, by the COVID-19 pandemic. Policymakers, payers, and providers need to understand the effectiveness of telehealth to inform new policies and implementation.

Research Questions

1. What is the effectiveness of services provided via different telehealth modalities as compared with services provided in-person?

2. What is the effectiveness of hybrid models of telehealth in which health services are provided to patients both in-person and via telehealth, as compared with services provided using telehealth or in-person visits alone?

3. To what extent have studies of telehealth services assessed disparities in access along the lines of race, ethnicity, age, insurance coverage type, language, digital literacy, socio-economic status, or geography?

Study Design

A rapid literature review of peer-reviewed studies published between January 2021 and October 2022.

5,400 peer-reviewed articles relevant to search terms

> Almost 200 full-text articles reviewed for potential inclusion

80 articles included in review

Inclusion Criteria

- Telehealth services between providers and patients
- Studies with a comparison group
- Services that could be equivalent to in-person services
- Services delivered by billable providers
- Studies addressed specified modalities: live video, telephone, email, text, and chat, e-visit, store and forward

Funding

This work was funded by the California Health Care Foundation. The final publication is available at www.chcf.org.





Overview of Evidence of Effectiveness by Modality, Outcome, and Disease and Condition 2021-2022

Health Outcomes

	Effective – Clear and Convincing	Effective – Preponderance of Evidence	Effective – Limited Evidence	Inconclusive Evidence	Insufficient Evidence
Live Video	 Orthopedics Chronic conditions Behavioral health, including PTSD and depression and anxiety 	 Multiple conditions Weight management 			 Surgical care Ophthalmology Reproductive Health Infectious Disease Examinations for Respiratory Illnesses
Telephone			 Behavioral health 		 Reproductive health
Email, Text, and Chat	t		 Eating disorder management 		
E-Visits			DermatologyMultiple conditions		
Store and Forward			DermatologyOphthalmology	Wound care	
Hybrid Care			 Reproductive health 		Chronic conditionsBehavioral health

Process of Care

	Effective – Clear and Convincing	Effective – Preponderance of Evidence	Effective – Limited Evidence	Inconclusive Evidence	Insufficient Evidence
Live Video		OrthopedicsSurgical care	 Primary care visits Examination of common respiratory illnesses Neuropsychology and cognitive assessments Diabetes management 	 Antibiotic prescribing 	Other specialty care
Telephone					 Otolaryngology
Email, Text, and Chat					
E-Visits			 Antibiotic prescribing 	Multiple conditions	
Store and Forward					
Hybrid Care					Chronic conditionsPrimary care

Utilization of Other Health Care Services

	Effective – Clear and Convincing	Effective – Preponderance of Evidence	Effective – Limited Evidence	Inconclusive Evidence	Insufficient Evidence
Live Video		 Infectious disease 	 Chronic conditions Orthopedics Surgical Care 	 Ophthalmology 	GastroenterologyReproductive Health
Telephone					Wound care
Email, Text, and Chat				 Multiple conditions 	
E-Visits				Multiple Conditions	
Store and Forward					
Hybrid Care					 Behavioral health

Principal Findings

Spotlight: Live video vs telephone

There is preponderance of evidence that the impact of behavioral health services delivered by live video on health outcomes is similar to the impact of services delivered by telephone consultation.

There is insufficient evidence that other health care services delivered by live video are comparable to services delivered by telephone consultation.

Only a handful of reviewed studies included information about the effectiveness of telehealth by patient and community characteristics, and generally these were limited to sex, age, and geography. This body of literature provides insufficient evidence as to whether there are disparities in telehealth effectiveness varies across patients and communities whose demographic or socio-economic characteristics differ.

Evidence of effectiveness varies by condition and modality.

- Substantial variation in the amount and rigor of research across modalities and conditions
- Generalization is challenging
- Evidence of effectiveness, generally, for live video
- For other modalities, only conclusive evidence exists for health outcomes

Implications for Policy and Practice

The literature examining the effectiveness of services provided via telehealth as compared with in-person services is rapidly evolving and growing. Health care practice patterns are continuing to evolve and there is additional integration of telehealth combined with less distinction between modalities. Telehealth is also being increasingly used as additional care instead of as a substitute for in-person care, with implications on health care expenditures.







UCSF Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies



Disparities

Conclusion